

ment of valid and reliable measures for compliance behavior is still needed.

**PHP6**

**THE INFLUENCE OF SELF-EFFICACY AND COMPLIANCE IN HYPERTENSION PATIENTS, DIABETES PATIENTS, AND UPPER RESPIRATORY TRACT INFECTIOUS PATIENTS WHO NEED SHORT COURSE ANTIBIOTICS AT FORT ADISORN HOSPITAL, THAILAND 2000**

Auamnoy Ti, Auamnoy Th

Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

**OBJECTIVE:** This study: 1) investigates the relationship between Self-efficacy (SE) (confidence in competent in a specific situation) and compliance with medical regimens in three groups of patients namely: Chronic maintenance care for diabetes—a life-threatening illness; Similar care for hypertension—a non-life threatening disease, and Acute care with antibiotics for infection—an episodic disorder with or without life-threatening potential; 2) explores SE for different levels of compliance (with 3 diseases) in self-administration of prescribed drugs; 3) identifies other variables relating to compliance in the respondents at Adisorn Fort, Saraburi Province, Thailand 2001. **METHOD:** A descriptive cross-sectional survey was employed to study relationships between SE and compliance. The response rate was 100% (n = 180). De Geese's SE Scale had alpha .8680 and Sorofman's Compliance scale with 2 constructs (correct amount and correct time) had alpha .8280 and .9459. **RESULTS:** Research confirmed that the stronger a patient's Self-efficacy, the more reliably he/she complied to regimens for self-administration of maintenance and acute-care medication. SE selectively predicted adherence in 3 distinct categories of drug therapy: Diabetes > Hypertension > Antibiotics and compliance in Diabetes > Hypertension > Antibiotics as well (p < .05). Statistical significance for predicting compliance was found in SE model (R<sup>2</sup>.695). Male had more SE and comply than female (p < .05). There were inverse correlation between education and SE and negatively correlation between SE and compliance as well (R<sup>-</sup>.199, -.189). The length of time for patients got disease correlated to SE and compliance (R<sup>2</sup>.475, .508) (p < .05). The elderly had the more SE and more compliance to medical regimens than the younger (R<sup>2</sup>.415, .404). Social support influenced SE and compliance. Patients with spouses had more SE and more comply with medical regimens than patients who lived alone (p < .05). **CONCLUSION:** SE played an important role in predicting compliance. SE was selective among diseases.

**PHP7**

**CRITICAL EVALUATION OF INTERVENTIONS TO ENHANCE PATIENT COMPLIANCE WITH CHRONIC MEDICATIONS**

Petrilla AA, Benner JS

ValueMedics Research, LLC, Alexandria, VA, USA

**OBJECTIVE:** Compliance with chronic medications is poor, resulting in lost clinical benefits and wasted resources. Developing evidence-based compliance programs is difficult because the literature is often equivocal, contradictory, or of poor methodological quality. The objectives of this study were: 1) to assess the relationship between methodological rigor in program evaluation and probability of intervention success; and 2) to identify the characteristics of compliance interventions that most strongly predict positive outcomes. **METHODS:** We searched the MEDLINE database for controlled studies of interventions designed to improve patient compliance with prescription medications for asthma, dyslipidemia, hypertension, depression, and diabetes (English-only, published between 1982 and 2002). Each study was abstracted for methodological rigor and intervention design. Compliance programs were considered "successful" if the authors demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in compliance compared to a control group. **RESULTS:** Sixty-four controlled studies were identified, in which 76 compliance interventions were evaluated. Overall, 68% of the interventions improved compliance. Of 20 interventions evaluated based on self-reported compliance, 9 (45%) were successful; 41 of 54 interventions (76%) that measured compliance via pill count, electronic monitoring, or pharmacy records were successful (p = 0.02). There were nine broad categories of intervention types, the most frequent of which were improved dosing convenience (n = 20 interventions, 70% successful), intensive clinician management (n = 7, 57% successful), counseling (n = 7, 57% successful), and reminders (n = 6, 66% successful). In addition, 20 of 25 programs (80%) consisting of multiple interventions were successful. **CONCLUSION:** Reliance on self-reported medication use appears to bias results against the intervention. This may be because patients report exaggerated levels of compliance in both intervention and control groups, creating a "ceiling effect" that reduces the observable difference. Common attributes of successful programs included simplified treatment regimens, facilitation of provider-patient relationships, and multi-faceted patient education methods. Combination interventions had higher rates of success than individual tactics.

**PHP8**

**DIFFERENTIAL ECONOMIC IMPACT OF VARIABLE COMPLIANCE AND VARIABLE PERSISTENCE WITH PRESCRIBED, LONG-TERM DRUG REGIMENS**

Vrijens B<sup>1</sup>, Tousset E<sup>1</sup>, Koncz T<sup>2</sup>, Métry JM<sup>2</sup>, Urquhart J<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>AARDEX Ltd, Vise, Belgium; <sup>2</sup>AARDEX Ltd, Zug, Switzerland;

<sup>3</sup>AARDEX Ltd, Palo Alto, CA, USA

**OBJECTIVES:** We define "compliance" as the "the extent of correspondence between the patient's dosing history and the prescribed dosing regimen", and "persistence" as the time between the first- and last-taken doses. They are related in that persistence increases one dose at a time.